HELCOM EUTRO-OPER Making HELCOM Eutrophication Assessments Operational

Hjalte Parner, ICES Data Centre

Acknowledgement - HELCOM IN-EUTROPHICATION Group



Acknowledgement



- HELCOM IN-EUTROPHICATION Group
 - Creators
 - Users
 - Drivers

- ICES Data Centre
 - Facilitators
 - Data Centre

In context



• HELCOM EUTRO-OPER 2014-2015

- Making the HELCOM Eutrophication Assessment Tool (HEAT) operational
- HEAT Assessment 2007-2011 for Test

• HELCOM EUTRO-OPER Extended 2016

• Implementation of additional indicators

• HELCOM IN-EUTROPHICATION 2017

• HEAT Assessment 2011-2016 for Real



Indicators

- Open Sea core
 - Nutrient levels
 - DIN
 - DIP
 - Direct effects
 - Chlorophyll a
 - Secchi Depth
 - Indirect effects
 - Oxygen Debt

Coastal - national

- Nutrient levels
 - DIN, total N
 - DIP, total P
- Direct effects
 - Chlorophyll a
 - Secchi depth
 - Phytoplankton biovolume
 - Percentage of perennial species
- Indirect effects
 - Oxygen concentration
 - Macrophytes
 - Macrovegetation
 - Zoobenthos
 - BQI
 - ZKI





HELCOM Eutrophication Assessment Tool (HEAT 3.0)





DIN indicator - Factsheet



Indicator	DIN
Response to eutrophication	positive
Parameters	DIN = NO2 + NO3 + NH4 concentration (μ M)
Assessment period	December 2006 – February 2011
Assessment season	Winter = December + January + February
Depth	Surface = average in the 0 – 10 m layer
Removing outliers	[to be agreed]
Removing close observations	For example [to be agreed]: If two observations are made in the same day within 0.01 degrees of latitude or longitude distance from each other, the later observation is removed.
Indicator level	average of yearly average values
Eutrophication ratio (ER)	ER = ES / ET
Status confidence (ES-Score)	LOW (=0%), if no more than 5 annual status observations are found during one or more years. MODERATE (=50%), if more than 5 but no more than 15 status observations are found per year. HIGH (=100%), if more than 15 spatially non-biased [to be specified what this means] status observations are found each year.
Indicator confidence (I-Score)	Confidence (%) = average of ES-Score and ET-Score

DIN indicator - Target



DIN	INDICATOR TARGET (ET)	TARGET CONFI DENCE (ET-SCORE)	INDICATOR WEIGHT (IW)	
SEA-001 The Kattegat	5.00	М	50	
SEA-001 Great Belt	5.00	М	50	
SEA-003 The Sound	3.30	М	50	
SEA-004 Kiel Bay	5.50	М	50	
SEA-005 Bay of Mecklenburg	4.30	М	50	
SEA-006 Arkona Basin	2.90	М	50	
SEA-007 Bornholm Basin	2.50	М	50	
SEA-008 Eastern Gotland Basin	2.60	М	50	
SEA-009 Gdansk Basin	4.20	М	50	
SEA-010 Western Gotland Basin	2.00	М	50	
SEA-011 Northern Baltic Proper	2.90	М	50	
SEA-012 Gulf of Riga	5.20	М	33	
SEA-013 Gulf of Finland	3.80	М	50	
SEA-014 Åland Sea	2.70	М	50	
SEA-015 Bothnian Sea	2.80	М	50	
SEA-016 The Quark	3.70	М	50	
SEA-017 Bothnian Bay	5.20	М	33	

HEAT Assessment - Factsheet



Assessment	Overall eutrophication
Assessment unit	SEA-011 Northern Baltic Proper
Core indicators	DIN, DIP, chla, SDT, O2
Assessment period	2007 (for DIN&DIP, Dec 2006) – 2011
Criterion 1 weight (C1_W)	33.33%
Criterion 2 weight (C2_W)	33.33%
Criterion 3 weight (C3_W)	33.33%
Step 1, indicators	[for each core indicator, see indicator specifications]
Step 2, Criterion status	For each criterion, use indicators from figure 1.
	Status is the sum of ER × IW of all indicators within criterion (=weighted average).
	If criterion has no indicators, the value is na. [for ER and IW, see indicator specifications]
Step 2, Criterion status classification	GES, if status ≤ 1: SubGES, if status > 1
Step 2, Criterion confidence	For each criterion, use indicators from figure 1.
	Confidence is the sum of I-Score × IW of all indicators within criterion (=weighted average).
	If criteria consists of only one indicator, the confidence is reduced by 25%.
	[for I-Score and IW, see indicator specifications]
Step 2, Criterion confidence classification	low, if confidence <50%
	moderate, if confidence 50-74%
	high, if confidence 75-100%
Step 3, overall status classification	The lowest criterion status classification is chosen as overall status classification (one-out-all-out principle)
	Criteria receiving status = na are ignored.
Step 3, overall confidence	Overall confidence is the sum of Criterion confidence × Criterion weight for all three criteria.
	If for 2 criteria, status = na, than reduce the result by 50%
Step 3, final confidence rating (FCR)	low, if confidence <50% - moderate, if confidence 50-74% - high, if confidence 75-100%

HELCOM Workspace - Accessions



Q

Search this site

HELCOM

HELCOM Meeting Portal Dataview

EUTRO-OPER Data reporting workspace Eutrophication Manual Dataview

National data check-up

DE DK EE FI LV LT PL RU SE Core indicators HEAT Page Viewer

Country	Description	DatasetID	AccessionID	Status	Submitted	Completed
Please Choose 👻	[]				(I	
Germany	2010 BFGG nutirents, chloro	envCWBFGG2010 ENQ	20162297	Completed	2016-10-10	2016-09-29
Germany	2011 BFGG contaminants in	envCWBFGG2011 ENQ	20162298	Completed	2016-10-10	2016-10-01
Germany	2012 BFGG contaminants in	envCWBFGG2012 ENQ	20162299	Completed	2016-10-07	2016-10-08
Germany	2009 BFGG nutrients, chloro	envCWBFGG2009 ENQ	20162296	Completed	2016-10-07	2016-10-07
Ireland	2013 MICG contaminants in	envCWMICG2013 ENQ	20140552	Completed	2016-10-07	2016-10-08
Ireland	2015 MICG contaminants in	envCWMICG2015 ENQ	20160902	Completed	2016-10-07	2016-10-08
Germany	2013 BSH CTD data of the C	ENQ710az	20162355	Completed	2016-09-29	2016-09-29
United States	1900-1940 WOD Bottle Data	ENQ2024	20162364	Pending ICES	2016-09-29	
Germany	2016 TI-SF oceanographic d	ENQ710aw	20162354	Completed	2016-09-28	2016-09-29
Germany	2015 BFGG contaminants an	envCWBFGG2015 ENQ	20162258	Completed	2016-09-21	2016-09-29

HELCOM Workspace – Stations



Accessions Stations Indicators Assessment

Download



Ass	essment Unit	Distance		Mariah	-			r interior	1	Coundland	C	DEPH	TEMP	PSAL	DOXY	PHOS	TPHS	SLCA	NTRA	NTRI	AMON	NTOT	CPHL
ID	Description	Platform	rear	Month	Day	Hour	Minute	Latitude	Longitude	Longitude Sounding	inding Secchi	1.97	2.752	7.424	9.02	0.57		12.4	1.3	0.1			
SEA-006	Arkona Basin	07PE	2009	3	25	14	8	55.0683	13.8127	54		10.95	2.869	7.421	8.88	0.58	-	12.4	1.4	0.12			
SEA-006	Arkona Basin	07PE	2009	3	25	15	16	55.1542	13.9398	47		21.11	2.9	7.503	8.8	0.59		12.3	1.5	0.13			
SEA-006	Arkona Basin	07PE	2009	3	25	16	17	55.0623	13.9867	48		31.2	2.977	7.527	8.89	0.59		12.2	1.6	0.14			
SEA-006	Arkona Basin	07PE	2009	3	25	17	9	55.0003	14.0778	49		41.45	3.087	8.006	8.37	0.67		11.3	3.3	0.18			

HELCOM Workspace - Indicators



Accessions	Stations	Indicators	Assessment							
Download MS	SFD classific	ation with 5 su	bclasses 👻	Secchi d	e <mark>pth</mark> (Sur	nmer)			-	
1	-	A.F.	The state		North L	E. P.				-
				L.Y					(* .A.	
						-				
	4		NE AL	S. S.	and a second				2	
	- Marker	A HALLAN				Chieve .				
-30	R.S.C.		1	Carl I			A.		Se this	
	No.	C All and								
Sec.			- Litter	A		1		·		1
						- Share - I	A.			*
- We			Contraction of the second		*		gen Ar			
Eutrophication	n Status	1 Start		L Y			and and			
0.5 - 1.0 (GES)	3)					lan all				
1.0 - 1.5 (Sub	IGES)	· ·							-	
> 2.0 (SubGE	S)									
Ass	essment Uni	t	riod	ES	STD	N	EP	ES-SCOPE	ET-SCOPE	T-SCOPE
		re			5.5		CIV	LD DOUNL	LI DOUNL	- SCONL

Assessment Unit		Period	ES	STD	N	A.	EP	ES-SCORE	ET-SCORE	T-SCORE
ID	Description	renou		510	14	4	- Ch	ES SCORE	ET SCORE	I SCORE
SEA-001	Kattegat	20072011	7.67	0.90	128	7.60	0.99	100	50	75
SEA-002	Great Belt	20072009	6.57	1.03	6	8.50	1.29	50	50	50
SEA-004	Kiel Bay	20072011	5.74	0.94	45	7.40	1.29	100	50	75
SEA-005	Bay of Mecklenburg	20072011	5.11	0.83	103	7.10	1.39	100	50	75



HELCOM Workspace - Assessment

Download MSFD classification with 5 subclasses Eutrophication Status <= 0.5 (GES) 0.5 - 1.0 (GES) 1.0 - 1.5 (SubGES) 1.5 - 2.0 (SubGES) > 2.0 (SubGES)

Accessions

Stations

Indicators

Assessment

Assessment Unit		C1:	nt levels	C2	C3:	Indire	ect ef	Chabun	Confidence				
ID	Description	ER	N	SCORE	ER	N	SCORE	ER	N	-	SCORE	Status	confidence
SEA-001	Kattegat	1.16	2	74	1.01	2	62	-		P	100	1.16	68
SEA-002	Great Belt	1.14	2	74	1.48	2	62		4	1		1.48	68
SEA-003	The Sound	1.76	2	50							¢	1.76	25
SEA-004	Kiel Bay	1.20	2	74	1.33	2	74					1.33	74

Want to know more?



Please follow the link

http://www.helcom.fi/helcom-at-work/projects/eutro-oper

to find out how HEAT have been made operational, how asssessment are being carried out in detals including the assessment manual and a demo of the test HEAT Assessment for 2007-2011

Thank you for your attention

Questions?

